arXiv:1409.0936v3 [math.RA] 30 Sep 2014

SOLVABLE LEIBNIZ ALGEBRAS WITH ABELIAN NILRADICALS

LINDSEY BOSKO-DUNBAR, MATTHEW BURKE, JONATHAN D. DUNBAR, J.T. HIRD, AND KRISTEN STAGG ROVIRA

Abstract. We extend the classification of solvable Lie algebras with abelian nilradicals to classify solvable Leibniz algebras which are one dimensional extensions of abelian nilradicals.

1. Introduction

Leibniz algebras were defined by Loday in 1993 [12, 13]. Recently, there has been a trend to show how various results from Lie algebras extend to Leibniz algebras [1, 3, 16]. In particular, there has been interest in extending classifications of certain classes of Lie algebras to classifications of corresponding Leibniz algebras [2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11].

Some of the classifications of Lie algebras come from placing certain restrictions on the nilradical [7, 15, 17, 18]. Several authors have been able to extend these results to Leibniz algebras or show similar results for Leibniz algebras with certain restrictions on the nilradical [5, 8, 9, 10, 11]. In praticular, Ndogmo and Winternitz [15] study solvable Lie algebras with abelian nilradicals. The goal of this paper is to utilize the results of [15] to develop similar results in the Leibniz setting.

We construct a general classification theorem for solvable Leibniz algebras with abelian nilradicals over $\mathbb{C}$. Furthermore, we discuss the case of 1-dimensional extensions; then provide an explicit classification of all 1-dimensional extensions of 1-, 2-, and 3-dimensional abelian nilradicals. In [6], Cañete and Khudoyberdiyev classify all non-nilpotent 4-dimensional Leibniz algebras over $\mathbb{C}$. Our classification recovers their result for 4-dimensional algebras with 3-dimensional abelian nilradicals. We also develop some results on 2-dimensional extensions of abelian nilradicals, specifically we show that all 4-dimensional solvable Leibniz algebras with 2-dimensional abelian nilradicals are in fact Lie algebras.

2. Preliminaries

A Leibniz algebra, $\mathfrak{L}$, is a vector space over a field (which we will take to be $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$) with a bilinear operation (which we will call multiplication) defined by $[x, y]$ which satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] + [y, [x, z]] \tag{1}$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{L}$. In other words L_x, left-multiplication by x, is a derivation. Some authors choose to impose this property on R_x, right-multiplication by x, instead. Such an algebra is called a "right" Leibniz algebra, but we will consider only "left" Leibniz algebras (which satisfy (1)). $\mathfrak{L}$ is a Lie algebra if additionally $[x, y] = -[y, x]$.

The derived series of a Leibniz (Lie) algebra $\mathfrak{L}$ is defined by $\mathfrak{L}^{(1)} = [\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}]$, $\mathfrak{L}^{(n+1)} = [\mathfrak{L}^{(n)}, \mathfrak{L}^{(n)}]$ for $n \geq 1$. $\mathfrak{L}$ is called solvable if $\mathfrak{L}^{(n)} = 0$ for some n. The lower-central series of $\mathfrak{L}$ is defined by $\mathfrak{L}^2 = [\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}]$, $\mathfrak{L}^{n+1} = [\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}^n]$ for $n > 1$. $\mathfrak{L}$ is called nilpotent if $\mathfrak{L}^n = 0$ for some n. It should be noted that if $\mathfrak{L}$ is nilpotent, then $\mathfrak{L}$ must be solvable.

The nilradical of $\mathfrak{L}$ is defined to be the (unique) maximal nilpotent ideal of $\mathfrak{L}$, denoted by $\text{nilrad}(\mathfrak{L})$. It is a classical result that if $\mathfrak{L}$ is solvable, then $\mathfrak{L}^2 = [\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}] \subseteq \text{nilrad}(\mathfrak{L})$. From [14], we have that

$$\dim(\text{nilrad}(\mathfrak{L})) \geq \frac{1}{2}\dim(\mathfrak{L}).$$

An abelian Lie algebra $A(r)$ is the r-dimensional Lie algebra with basis $\{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r\}$, and multiplication

$$[n_i, n_j] = 0, \ \forall \ i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, r. \tag{2}$$

The left-annihilator or left-normalizer of a Leibniz algebra $\mathfrak{L}$ is the ideal $\text{Ann}_\ell(\mathfrak{L}) = \{x \in \mathfrak{L} \mid [x, y] = 0 \ \forall y \in \mathfrak{L}\}$. Note that the elements $[x, x]$ and $[x, y] + [y, x]$ are in $\text{Ann}_\ell(\mathfrak{L})$, for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{L}$, because of (1).

An element x in a Leibniz algebra $\mathfrak{L}$ is nilpotent if both $(L_x)^n = (R_x)^n = 0$ for some n. In other words, for all y in $\mathfrak{L}$

$$[x, \cdots [x, [x, y]]] = 0 = [[[y, x], x] \cdots, x].$$

A set of matrices $\{X_\alpha\}$ is called linearly nilindependent if no non-zero linear combination of them is nilpotent. In other words, if

$$X = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{f} c_\alpha X_\alpha,$$

then $X^n = 0$ implies that $c_\alpha = 0$ for all α. A set of elements of a Leibniz algebra $\mathfrak{L}$ is called linearly nilindependent if no non-zero linear combination of them is a nilpotent element of $\mathfrak{L}$.

3. Classification

Let $A(r)$ be the r-dimensional abelian (Lie) algebra over the field F ($\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$) with basis $\{n_1, \ldots, n_r\}$ and products given by (2). By appending the basis of $A(r)$ with s linearly nilindependent elements $\{x_1, \ldots, x_s\}$, we construct an n-dimensional solvable Leibniz algebra, $L(r, s)$ where $n = r + s$. In doing so, we create a Leibniz algebra whose nilradical is $A(r)$. Henceforth, we shall only consider such $L(r, s)$ that are indecomposable. As in [15], we have the following constraints on r, s, and n:

$$n = r + s, \quad \frac{n}{2} \leq r \leq n - 1. \tag{3}$$

Let $\mathfrak{L}$ be a finite-dimensional solvable non-nilpotent Leibniz algebra over the field F, of characteristic zero, subject to (3). Let $\mathfrak{L}$ have an r-dimensional abelian nilradical, namely $\text{nilrad}(L) = A(r)$. For $\mathfrak{L}$, we may choose the basis $\{n_1, \ldots, n_r, x_1, \ldots, x_s\}$, where $n_1, \ldots, n_r \in A(r)$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_s \in \mathfrak{L} \backslash A(r)$. In general, the bracket relations for elements in $A(r)$ are defined by $[n_i, n_j] = 0$, $\forall\ n_i, n_j \in A(r)$. Letting $N = (n_1,\ n_2,\ \ldots,\ n_r)^T$, then the left and

right bracket relations of elements x_α are defined, for $1 \leq \alpha \leq s \leq \frac{n}{2}$, by

$$\begin{aligned} [x_\alpha, N] &= L^\alpha N, \quad L^\alpha \in F^{r\times r}, \\ [N, x_\alpha] &= R^\alpha N, \quad R^\alpha \in F^{r\times r}, \end{aligned} \tag{4a}$$

$$[x_\alpha, x_\beta] = \sigma_j^{\alpha\beta} n_j, \ \sigma_j^{\alpha\beta} \in F, \tag{4b}$$

since $\mathfrak{L}^2 \subseteq \text{nilrad}(\mathfrak{L}) = A(r)$. Note that we are employing Einstein notation in (4b), summing over all j.

The classification of these Leibniz algebras $L(r, s)$ is equivalent to the classification of the matrices L^α and R^α and the constants $\sigma_j^{\alpha\beta}$. The Jacobi identities for the triples $\{x_\alpha, x_\beta, n_i\}$, $\{x_\alpha, n_i, x_\beta\}$, $\{n_i, x_\alpha, x_\beta\}$ for $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq s$ and $1 \leq i \leq r$ yield, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} (L_{ij}^\alpha L_{jk}^\beta - L_{ij}^\beta L_{jk}^\alpha) n_k &= 0 \\ (R_{ij}^\beta L_{jk}^\alpha - L_{ij}^\alpha R_{jk}^\beta) n_k &= 0 \\ (R_{ij}^\alpha R_{jk}^\beta + R_{ij}^\beta L_{jk}^\alpha) n_k &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Unlike the Lie case, these give nontrivial relations for $s = 1$ or $\alpha = \beta$ when $s > 1$. By the linear nilindependence of the n_k, we have the following relations on the matrices L^α and R^α

$$[L^\alpha, L^\beta] = 0 \tag{5a}$$

$$[L^\alpha, R^\beta] = 0 \tag{5b}$$

$$(R^\alpha + L^\alpha) R^\beta = 0, \tag{5c}$$

where (5c) utilizes (5b).

The Jacobi identity for the triple $\{x_\alpha, x_\beta, x_\gamma\}$ for $1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq s$ gives us

$$\sigma_j^{\beta\gamma} L_{jk}^\alpha - \sigma_j^{\alpha\beta} R_{jk}^\gamma - \sigma_j^{\alpha\gamma} L_{jk}^\beta = 0, \tag{6}$$

summed over j. Again, we do not require α, β, γ to be distinct, which in particular gives nontrivial relations for $s \geq 1$. Equivalently, since $[x_\alpha, x_\alpha] \in \mathrm{Ann}_\ell(L)$, we have $\sigma_j^{\alpha\alpha} R_{jk}^{\beta} = 0$ $\forall\ \alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, s$ and, again, we are summing over index j.

Lemma 3.1. *Let L be a Leibniz algebra with abelian nilradical, with elements defined by* (4a) *and* (4b)*, and denote* $\sigma^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^{\alpha\beta} \\ \vdots \\ \sigma_r^{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix}$. *Then, $\sigma^{\alpha\alpha}, \sigma^{\alpha\beta} + \sigma^{\beta\alpha} \in NS((R^\gamma)^T)$, for all $\gamma = 1, \ldots, s$.*

Proof. Recall that, for Leibniz algebra L, $[x, y] + [y, x] \in \mathrm{Ann}_\ell(L)$, $\forall x, y \in L$. Hence, $[x_\alpha, x_\beta] + [x_\beta, x_\alpha] \in \mathrm{Ann}_\ell(L)$, $\forall \alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, s$. In particular, $[[x_\alpha, x_\beta] + [x_\beta, x_\alpha], x_\gamma] = 0$, which implies that

$$(\sigma_i^{\alpha\beta} + \sigma_i^{\beta\alpha}) R_{ij}^{\gamma} = 0,$$

where here we are summing over all $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Additionally, this is true for all $j = 1, \ldots, r$ and all $\gamma = 1, \ldots, s$. Thus, $(\sigma^{\alpha\beta} + \sigma^{\beta\alpha})^T R^\gamma = 0$, which implies that $(R^\gamma)^T (\sigma^{\alpha\beta} + \sigma^{\beta\alpha}) = 0$. Therefore, $\sigma^{\alpha\beta} + \sigma^{\beta\alpha} \in NS((R^\gamma)^T)$. What is more, if $\beta = \alpha$, then it immediately follows that $\sigma^{\alpha\alpha} \in NS((R^\gamma)^T)$, as well. □

It is advisable to note that Lemma 3.1 does not imply that $\sigma^{\alpha\beta} + \sigma^{\beta\alpha}$ or $\sigma^{\alpha\alpha}$ need be nontrivial elements of $NS((R^\gamma)^T$.

In an effort to simplify matrices L^α and R^α and constants $\sigma_j^{\alpha\beta}$, we employ several transformations which leave bracket relations (2) invariant. Namely,

- redefine the elements of nilrad(L):

$$N \longrightarrow SN, \quad S \in GL(r, F)$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} R^\alpha \longrightarrow S R^\alpha S^{-1} \\ L^\alpha \longrightarrow S L^\alpha S^{-1}, \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

- redefine the elements of the extension:

$$x_\alpha \longrightarrow x_\alpha + \mu_j^\alpha n_j, \quad \mu_j^\alpha \in F, \tag{8}$$

- redefine the extension by linear combinations of x_α:

$$X \longrightarrow GX, \quad X \in GL(s, F), \tag{9}$$

where $X = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s)^T$.

The matrix S used in (7) is simply required to be invertible to preserve (2). So, we may choose S to be the appropriate permutation matrix which transforms R^1 into Jordan canonical form. Therefore, the classification of Leibniz algebras $L(r, s)$ with abelian nilradical will rely primarily on classes defined by the Jordan canonical form of the $r \times r$ matrix R^1. Unfortunately, it cannot be guaranteed that the same S will also transform L^1 or, R^α or L^α, $\alpha > 1$, into Jordan canonical form. These matrices, however, will be determined by other constraints. For example, note that if R^1 has no zero eigenvalues, then $(R^1)^{-1}$ exists, and so by (5c), $R^\alpha = -L^\alpha$ for all $\alpha = 1, \ldots, s$.

Observe that, in (9), for the special case of a 1-dimensional extension of $A(r)$, the matrix G will be a scalar.

Note that (8) leaves $NS((R^\alpha)^T)$ and the matrices L^α and R^α invariant, but it will have an effect on $\sigma_k^{\alpha\beta}$. That is,

$$\sigma_k^{\alpha\beta} \longrightarrow \sigma_k^{\alpha\beta} + \mu_j^\alpha R_{jk}^\beta + \mu_j^\beta L_{jk}^\alpha, \quad \forall\ k = 1, \ldots, r. \tag{10}$$

In the special case where $\alpha = \beta$, we see that (10) appears as $\sigma_k^{\alpha\alpha} \longrightarrow \sigma_k^{\alpha\alpha} + \mu_j^\alpha (R_{jk}^\alpha + L_{jk}^\alpha)$, implying that we cannot use (8) to manipulate $\sigma^{\alpha\alpha}$ when $R^\alpha = -L^\alpha$, for $\alpha = 1, \ldots, s$. This is of particular value in the $s = 1$ case, as it implies that we may not change $\sigma_k^{11} = \sigma_k$ when $R^1 = -L^1$.

4. 1-Dimensional Extensions of $A(r)$

We will explore the non-Lie Leibniz algebras whose abelian nilradical $A(r)$ is extended by a single semisimple element, x. In this case we have a specialized version of the previous result Lemma 3.1, as well as a general classification theorem for non-Lie Leibniz algebras $L(r, 1)$. Throughout this section, we will assume that R is transformed by (7) into Jordan canonical form, which places the eigenvalues of R on its diagonal. Note that at least one of these eigenvalues must be nonzero, or else x would act nilpotently on $A(r)$ from the right. Once this transformation is complete, we will reorder the basis elements of $A(r)$ such that any Jordan blocks associated with zero eigenvalues are moved to the bottom right corner of R. We do this reordering in such a way so as to preserve each Jordan block. Lastly, we perform transformation (9). Since $s = 1$, G is any nonzero scalar of our choosing. Let $G = \dfrac{1}{\lambda_1}$, where λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of R, associated with the nilradical basis element n_1. This ensures that $R_{11} = 1$. Putting the result back in Jordan form preserves the 1's off the main diagonal.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $L(r, 1)$ be a Leibniz algebra that is a 1-dimensional extension of an r-dimensional abelian nilradical, with elements defined by* (4a) *and* (4b)*, and denote $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r)^T$. Then,*

(1) *$\sigma \in NS(R^T)$, and*

(2) *$R_{ij} \neq 0$ implies that $\sigma_i = 0$.*

Proof. Statement (1) follows directly from Lemma 3.1, in the case of a 1-dimensional extension.

For statement (2), recall that we may choose the appropriate permutation matrix S which transforms R into Jordan canonical form by conjugation. Once this transformation is applied, R consists of Jordan blocks with each nonzero column of R either having a single nonzero entry or two nonzero entries. Suppose that column j has a single nonzero entry in row i. Then, by (6), we know that $\sigma_i = 0$.

Suppose now that column j has two nonzero entries. Then, these entries must be R_{jj} and $R_{j-1,j}$, since they are components of J_λ, an $m \times m$ Jordan block associated with the eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$. (Hence $i = j$ or $j-1$.) So, there is a smallest integer $p < m$ such that the $j-p$ column of R has a single nonzero entry, namely $R_{(j-p)(j-p)}$, the first nonzero entry of J_λ, and hence $\sigma_{j-p} = 0$. Now consider the $j-(p-1)$ column of R, which must have two nonzero entries $R_{(j-p)(j-(p-1))}$ and $R_{(j-(p-1))(j-(p-1))}$. By (6), it must be the case that $R_{(j-p)(j-(p-1))}\sigma_{j-p} + R_{(j-(p-1))(j-(p-1))}\sigma_{j-(p-1)} = 0$. Since $\sigma_{j-p} = 0$ and $R_{(j-(p-1))(j-(p-1))} = \lambda \neq 0$, then $\sigma_{j-(p-1)} = 0$. An iteration of this process will yield that $\sigma_{j-1} = \sigma_j = 0$, so $\sigma_i = 0$ as needed. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $L(r,1)$ be a solvable Leibniz algebra that is a 1-dimensional extension by x of an r-dimensional abelian nilradical, $A(r)$. If the matrix R, which defines the right-action of x on $A(r)$, is nonsingular, then $L(r,1)$ is a Lie algebra.*

Proof. If R is nonsingular, then R^{-1} exists. So, by (5c), $R = -L$. Furthermore, since we may use (7) to transform R into its Jordan canonical form, then the diagonal of R will consist of its eigenvalues. Nonsingular matrices have only nonzero eigenvalues. Thus, by Lemma 4.1, $\sigma_i = 0$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Therefore, $L(r,1)$ is a Lie algebra. □

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathfrak{L}$ be an $(r+1)$-dimensional solvable non-nilpotent Leibniz algebra over the field F, of characteristic zero with $r < \infty$. Let $\mathfrak{L}$ have the r-dimensional abelian nilradical, namely* $\mathrm{nilrad}(L) = A(r)$*, and let $\mathfrak{L}$ be subject to* (3)*. We may choose the basis of L to be $\{n_1, \ldots, n_r, x\}$, where $n_1, \ldots, n_r \in A(r)$ and $x \in L \backslash A(r)$. The bracket relations for elements in $A(r)$ are defined by $[n_i, n_j] = 0$, $\forall\ n_i, n_j \in A(r)$. Letting $N = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r)^T$, then the left and right bracket relations of x are defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} [x, N] &= LN, \quad L \in F^{r\times r}, \\ [N, x] &= RN, \quad R \in F^{r\times r}, \end{aligned} \tag{11a}$$

$$[x, x] = \sigma_j n_j,\ \sigma_j \in F,\ \textit{such that } \sigma \in NS(R^T), \tag{11b}$$

where $\sigma = (\sigma_1,\ \ldots,\ \sigma_r)^T$

We will specifically describe in detail $L(r,1)$ in the cases of $r = 1, 2, 3$.

4.1. **Leibniz algebras $L(1,1)$ of non-Lie type.** For $L(1,1) = \langle n, x\rangle$, the products are $[n,x] = Rn$, $[x,n] = Ln$, and $[x,x] = \sigma n$, with $R, L, \sigma \in F$. By Lemma 4.2, we see that if $R \neq 0$, then $L(1,1)$ is a Lie algebra. Suppose, then, that $R = 0$, implying that $L \neq 0$, else $x \in \text{nilrad}(L(1,1))$. Thus, using (9) with $G = L^{-1}$, we obtain the algebra described in Table 5.3. Note that since $R = 0$, there is no restriction placed on σ. These algebras are classified in section 1 of Table 5.3.

4.2. **Leibniz algebras $L(2,1)$ of non-Lie type.** For $r = 2$, the only two Jordan canonical forms are

(12a)
$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(12b)
$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are eigenvalues of the matrix, R, that we are transforming, where λ_1 and λ_2 are not necessarily distinct. By Lemma 4.2, R must be singular. This leaves us with three possibilities for R:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

4.2.1. *Case 1:* $R = 0$. Since $R = 0$, (7) leaves R invariant (the zero matrix is always in Jordan form) and so can be used to put L in Jordan form instead, so L will be of form (12a) or (12b). If the diagonals of L are zero ($\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$), then L is nilpotent, hence so is $\mathfrak{L}$, which contradicts $\text{nilrad}(\mathfrak{L}) = A(2)$.

Using (9) we can transform λ_1 to 1 in either case, so L is one of

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\lambda = \lambda_2$ can be zero (note that if $\lambda_1 = 0$ and $\lambda_2 \neq 0$ then we must first switch the basis elements n_1 and n_2). Now applying (8) with the appropriate choice of μ makes $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 0$ except when $\lambda = 0$, in which case $\sigma_1 = 0$ and σ_2 is free. These algebras are classified in section 2 of Table 5.3.

4.2.2. *Case 2:* $R = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Using (9) with $G = \dfrac{1}{\lambda_1}$, we can further simplify this matrix to $R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Applying relations (5b) and (5c) we find that the matrix L is of the form $L = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$.

If $a = 0$, then (10) implies that (8) leaves σ invariant, so σ_1 and σ_2 are free. However, if $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 0$, then $\mathfrak{L}$ is Lie. If $a \neq 0$, then an appropriate choice of μ makes $\sigma_2 = 0$, but $R_{11} = -L_{11}$, so (10) implies that (8) leaves σ_1 invariant. These algebras are classified in section 2 of Table 5.3.

4.2.3. *Case 3:* $R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Applying relations (5b) and (5c) we find that the matrix L is of the form $L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus R and L are both nilpotent, hence so is x, which contradicts nilrad$(\mathfrak{L}) = A(2)$. Therefore we find no permissible algebras in this case.

4.3. **Leibniz algebras $L(3,1)$ of non-Lie type.** Solvable Leibniz algebras $L(3,1)$ of non-Lie type are 1-dimensional extensions of a 3-dimensional abelian nilradical. Similar to the previous section, we begin classification by first considering the possible Jordan canonical forms for 3×3 matrices. Again, we arrange the eigenvalues so that the non-zero eigenvalues, if there are any, come before zero eigenvalues. The possible Jordan canonical forms that

matrix R may take are as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{14a}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{14b}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{14c}$$

where λ_1, λ_2, and λ_3 are not necessarily distinct.

As before, by Lemma 4.2, R must be singular. This means that we can force at least one λ_i to be zero. If $\lambda_1 \neq 0$, then once we perform transformation (9) with $G = \dfrac{1}{\lambda_1}$, the leading eigenvalue becomes 1. Putting the result back in Jordan form, the following possibilities for R remain:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{15}$$

where $a \neq 0 \in F$.

4.3.1. *Case 1:* $R = 0$. As before, since $R = 0$ we can use (8) to put L in Jordan form. If L is nilpotent (one of the matrices in (14)) then X is nilpotent, which contradicts $\mathrm{nilrad}(\mathfrak{L}) = A(3)$. Thus we can assume $L_{11} \neq 0$, and we can use (9) to make $L_{11} = 1$. This means that

L can be written in the form of one of the matrices in (14a), (14b), or (14c) with $\lambda_1 = 1$. The resulting algebras are classified in Table 5.3.

4.3.2. *Case 2:* $R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \\ & 0 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Using relations (5b) and (5c) we have that matrix L is of the form $L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & d \end{pmatrix}$. If $d = 0$, then $L^3 = 0$ and X is nilpotent, which is a contradiction. On the contrary, if $d \neq 0$, then $(L^n)_{33} \neq 0$ for all n, so X is not nilpotent. Thus since $d \neq 0$ we can use (8) to make $d = 1$. If $c \neq 0$, then we can use (7) with $S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1/c \end{pmatrix}$ to make $c = 1$. Note that we can use (8) to make $\sigma_1 = 0$, and unless $a = -1$ and $c = 0$ we can also make $\sigma_2 = 0$. The resulting algebras are classified in Table 5.3.

4.3.3. *Case 3:* $R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \\ & 0 & 1 \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Relations (5b) and (5c) give that matrix L is of the form $L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & a \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus both R and L are nilpotent, hence so is X, which contradicts $\mathrm{nilrad}(\mathfrak{L}) = A(3)$. Thus we find no new algebras in this case (just as in section 4.2.3).

4.3.4. *Case 4:* $R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Using relations (5b) and (5c) we find that the matrix L is of the form $L = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & a & b \\ & c & d \end{pmatrix}$. At this point we can change the basis of $\mathrm{span}\{n_2, n_3\}$ to put

$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ in Jordan form. This leaves us with two possibilities for L:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & a & \\ & & b \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & a & 1 \\ & & a \end{pmatrix},$$

where a and b are not necessarily distinct. If a or b is nonzero, then by (10) an appropriate choice of μ in (8) will make the corresponding σ be zero. Additionally, if $a = 0$ in the second case, choosing $\mu_2 = -\sigma_3$ makes $\sigma_3 = 0$. If $a = b = 0$ in the first case ($L = -R$), then our only constraint on σ is that σ_1, σ_2, and σ_3 are not all zero (or else $\mathfrak{L}$ is Lie). However in this case, we are free to interchange n_2 and n_3 (hence σ_2 and σ_3), so we can assume $\sigma_2 \geq \sigma_3$. The resulting algebras are classified in Table 5.3.

4.3.5. *Case 5:* $R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & a & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Here we assume $a \neq 0$. Using relations (5b) and (5c) we find that the matrix L is of the form $L = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & -a & \\ & & b \end{pmatrix}$. If $b \neq 0$ we can use (8) to make $\sigma_3 = 0$, but since $R_{11} + L_{11} = R_{22} + L_{22} = 0$ by (10) we can not force σ_1 or σ_2 to be zero (nor σ_3 if $b = 0$). The resulting algebras are classified in Table 5.3.

4.3.6. *Case 6:* $R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Using relations (5b) and (5c) we find that the matrix L is of the form $L = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & \\ & -1 & \\ & & a \end{pmatrix}$. If $a \neq 0$ we can use (8) to make $\sigma_3 = 0$, but by (10) we can not make σ_1 or σ_2 be zero. The resulting algebras are classified in Table 5.3.

4.3.7. *Case 7:* $R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & 1 \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Using relations (5b) and (5c) we find that the matrix L is of the form $L = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & 0 & a \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. If $a \neq -1$ we can use (8) to make $\sigma_3 = 0$, but by (10) we can not make σ_1 or σ_2 (or σ_3 if $a = -1$) be zero. The resulting algebras are classified in Table 5.3.

5. 2-Dimensional Extensions of $A(2)$

The case of $L(r, s)$, which are $(r + s)$-dimensional Leibniz algebras with an r-dimensional abelian nilradical, seems significantly more complex when $s > 1$. This is also true in the Lie case, as seen in [15]. So, we narrow our focus to the case of 2-dimensional extensions of 2-dimensional abelian nilradicals.

The Leibniz algebra $L(2, 2)$ has basis $\{x_1, x_2, n_1, n_2\}$, satisfying relations (2), (4a), and (4b). As before, we may select S so that R^1 is transformed into Jordan canonical form. Therefore, R^1 will be of the form (12a) or (12b). Once R^1 is determined, the structure of R^2 can likewise be determined based on other restrictions, namely (5a), (5b), and (5c). In particular, (5c) guarantees that if R^1 is nonsingular, then $R^1 = -L^1$ and $R^2 = -L^2$.

5.1. R^1 **not diagonal.** We first consider R^1 of the form (12b). In this case, since $\lambda_1 \neq 0$, R^1 is nonsingular. By (5c), this implies that $R^1 = -L^1$ and $R^2 = -L^2$. So by (5a), we have $0 = [R^1, R^2]$. This implies that R^2 must be of the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ 0 & R_{11} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By our requirement for nilindipendence, it must be true that $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ and $R_{11} \neq 0$. However, if $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ and $R_{11} \neq 0$, then R^1 and R^2 will not be nilindependent. So, there are no Leibniz algebras $L(2, 2)$ defined by R^1 of the form (12b).

5.2. R^1 **diagonal.** We now consider R^1 of the form (12a). Note here that, since at least one of λ_1 or λ_2 must be nonzero, we will specify that $\lambda_1 \neq 0$. Due to the freedom of λ_2, we further divide such algebras into three cases.

(1) $\lambda_2 \neq 0$ and $\lambda_2 \neq \lambda_1$

(2) $\lambda_2 = \lambda_1$

(3) $\lambda_2 = 0$

5.2.1. *Case 1:* Suppose that $\lambda_2 \neq 0$ and $\lambda_2 \neq \lambda_1$. Then, R^1 is invertible and we have that $R^1 = -L^1$ and $R^2 = -L^2$, by (5c). Then, by (5a) and since $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, R^2 is a diagonal matrix. That is, the products of x_1 and x_2 on $A(2)$ are defined, respectively, by the matrices

$$R^1 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} = -L^1 \quad \text{and} \quad R^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2 \end{pmatrix} = -L^2,$$

where we are denoting R_{11} by μ_1 and R_{22} by μ_2 for ease of notation.

Since $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ and $\{x_1, x_2\}$ are nilindependent, using (9) we can take a linear combination of $\{x_1, x_2\}$ so that

$$R^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -L^1 \quad \text{and} \quad R^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = -L^2.$$

Applying Lemma 3.1, we find that $\sigma^{\alpha\alpha} = \sigma^{\alpha\beta} + \sigma^{\beta\alpha} = 0$ for all α, β. Therefore, the solvable Leibniz algebra $L(2,2)$ with products of x_1 defined by the above assumptions on R^1, is also isomorphic to a Lie algebra.

5.2.2. *Case 2:* Suppose $\lambda_2 = \lambda_1 \neq 0$. Then R^1 is invertible, so by (5c) $R^1 = -L^1$ and $R^2 = -L^2$. Since R^1 is a scalar multiple of the identity matrix, we can use (7) to put R^2 in Jordan form and R^1 will be left invariant. Then R^2 will be of form (12a) or (12b), but if $(R^2)_{11} = (R^2)_{22}$, then R^1 and R^2 will not be nilindependent, a contradiction. Hence R^2 is a diagonal matrix with $(R^2)_{11} \neq (R^2)_{22}$, so either R^2 or R^2 plus a multiple of R^1 will have

$(R^2)_{11}$ and $(R^2)_{22} \neq 0$, so we obtain an algebra from Case 1 by interchanging R^1 and R^2. Thus we find no new Leibniz algebras in this case.

5.2.3. *Case 3:* Suppose $\lambda_2 = 0$. Then (5b) implies that L^1 and L^2 are diagonal. Applying (5c) with $\alpha = 2$, $\beta = 1$ we find that R^2 is upper-triangular. Since R^1 and R^2 are nilindependent this implies that $(R^2)_{22} \neq 0$. Applying (5c) with other choices of α and β we find that $R^1 = -L^1$ and $R^2 = -L^2$ (and R^2 is diagonal). Again, either R^2 or R^2 plus a multiple of R^1 will have $(R^2)_{11}$ and $(R^2)_{22} \neq 0$, so we obtain an algebra from Case 1 and find no new Leibniz algebras in this case.

5.3. **General result for solvable Leibniz algebras $L(2,2)$.** By the allowable transformations (7) and (9), with the arguments given in 5.1 and 5.2, we have a general result on all solvable Leibniz algebras of type $L(2,2)$.

Theorem 5.1. *All solvable Leibniz algebras $L(2,2)$, 2-dimensional extensions of a 2-dimensional abelian nilradical, are also Lie algebras.*

Acknowledgements. This work was completed as part of a undergraduate independent research project at Spring Hill College. The authors would like to extend gratitutde to the support given by the Department of Mathematics at Spring Hill College.

References

[1] Albeverio, Sh., A. Ayupov, B. Omirov. On nilpotent and simple Leibniz algebras, *Comm. Algebra*. **33**, (2005) 159-172.

[2] Albeverio, S., B. A. Omirov, I. S. Rakhimov. Classification of 4 dimensional nilpotent complex Leibniz algebras, *Extracta Math.* **21** 3, (2006) 197-210.

[3] Ayupov, Sh. A., B. A. Omirov. On Leibniz algebras, *Algebra and Operator Theory*. Proceedings of the Colloquium in Tashkent, Kluwer, (1998).

[4] Batten-Ray, C., A. Hedges, E. Stitzinger. Classifying several classes of Leibniz algebras, *Algebr. Represent. Theory*. **17** 2, (2014), 703 - 712.

[5] Bosko - Dunbar, L., J. Dunbar, J.T. Hird, K. Stagg, Solvable Leibniz algebras with Heisenberg nilradical, *Comm. Algebra* To appear, arXiv:1409.0936.

[6] Cañete, E. M., A. Kh. Khudoyberdiyev. The Classification of 4-Dimensional Leibniz Algebras. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **439** 1, (2013), 273-288.

[7] Compoamor-Stursberg R. Solvable Lie algebras with an $\mathbb{N}$-graded nilradical of maximum nilpotency degree and their invariants, *J. Phys A.* **43** 14, (2010) 145202, 18.

[8] Casas J. M., M. Ladra, B. A. Omirov, I. A. Karimjanov. Classification of solvable Leibniz algebras with null-filiform nilradical, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*. **61** 6, (2013) 758-774.

[9] Casas J. M., M. Ladra, B. A. Omirov, I. A. Karimjanov. Classification of solvable Leibniz algebras with naturally graded filiform nil-radical, *Linear Algegra Appl.* **438** 7, (2013), 2973-3000.

[10] Karimjanov, I.A., A. Kh. Khudoyberdiyev, B.A. Omirov. Solvable Leibniz algebras with triangular nilradicals. arXiv:1407.7956

[11] Khudoyberdiyev, A. Kh., M. Ladra, B.A. Omirov. On solvable Leibniz algebras whose nilradical is a direct sum of null-filiform algebras, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*. **62** 9, (2014) 1220 - 1239.

[12] Loday, J. Une version non commutative des algebres de Lie: les algebres de Leibniz, *Enseign. Math.* **39**, (1993) 269-293.

[13] Loday, J., T. Pirashvili. Universal enveloping algebras of Leibniz algebras and (co)homology, *Math. Ann.* **296**, (1993) 139-158.

[14] Mubarakzianov, G. M. *Some problems about solvable Lie algebras*. Izv. Vusov: ser. Math. **32** 95, (1966) (Russian).

[15] Ndogmo, J. C., P. Winternitz. Solvable Lie algebras with abelian nilradicals, *J. Phys. A.* **27** 8, (1994) 405-423.

[16] Omirov, B. Conjugacy of Cartan subalgebras of complex finite dimensional Leibniz algebras, *J. Algebra*. **302**, (2006) 887-896.

[17] Tremblay P., P. Winternitz. Solvable Lie algebras with triangular nilradicals, *J. Phys. A.* **31** 2, (1998) 789-806.

[18] Wang, Y., J. Lin, S. Deng. Solvable Lie algebras with quasifiliform nilradicals, *Comm. Algebra*. **36** 11, (2008) 4052-4067.

No.	R	L	σ	restrictions
(1)	0	1	$\sigma \in F$	
(2.1)	0	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\sigma_1 = 0, \sigma_2 \in F$	
(2.2)	0	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$	$\sigma_1, \sigma_2 = 0$	$a \neq 0 \in F$
(2.3)	0	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\sigma_1, \sigma_2 = 0$	
(2.4)	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$	$\sigma_1 \in F$, $\sigma_2 = 0$	$a \neq 0 \in F$
(2.5)	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$L = -R$	$\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in F$, not both zero	
(3.1)	0	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\sigma_1 = 0$ $\sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in F$	
(3.2)	0	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & a & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\sigma_1, \sigma_2 = 0$ $\sigma_3 \in F$	$a \neq 0$
(3.3)	0	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & a & \\ & & b \end{pmatrix}$	$\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 = 0$	$a, b \neq 0 \in F$
(3.4)	0	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\sigma_1, \sigma_2 = 0$ $\sigma_3 \in F$	
(3.5)	0	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & 1 \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\sigma_1, \sigma_3 = 0$ $\sigma_2 \in F$	

No.	R	L	σ	restrictions
(3.6)	0	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \\ & 1 & \\ & & a \end{pmatrix}$	$\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 = 0$	$a \neq 0 \in F$
(3.7)	0	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & a & 1 \\ & & a \end{pmatrix}$	$\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 = 0$	$a \neq 0$ or $1 \in F$
(3.8)	0	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 = 0$	
(3.9)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \\ & 0 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\sigma_3 = 0$ $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in F$	$a \in F$
(3.10)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \\ & 0 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\sigma_2, \sigma_3 = 0$ $\sigma_1 \in F$	$a \neq -1 \in F$ $b \in F$
(3.11)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \\ & 0 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\sigma_2, \sigma_3 = 0$ $\sigma_1 \in F$	$a, b \in F$
(3.12)	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & a & \\ & & b \end{pmatrix}$	$\sigma_2, \sigma_3 = 0$ $\sigma_1 \in F$	$a, b \neq 0 \in F$
(3.13)	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & a & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\sigma_2 = 0$ $\sigma_1, \sigma_3 \in F$	$a \neq 0 \in F$
(3.14)	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & a & 1 \\ & & a \end{pmatrix}$	$\sigma_2, \sigma_3 = 0$ $\sigma_1 \in F$	$a \neq 0 \in F$

No.	R	L	σ	restrictions
(3.15)	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & 0 & 1 \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\sigma_3 = 0$ $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in F$	
(3.16)	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$L = -R$	$\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \neq 0,$ $\sigma_2 \geq \sigma_3$	
(3.17)	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & a & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & -a & \\ & & b \end{pmatrix}$	$\sigma_3 = 0$ $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in F$	$a \neq 0 \in F$ $b \neq 0 \in F$
(3.18)	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & a & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$L = -R$	$\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \neq 0 \in F$	$a \neq 0 \in F$
(3.19)	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & \\ & -1 & \\ & & a \end{pmatrix}$	$\sigma_3 = 0$ $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in F$	$a \neq 0 \in F$
(3.20)	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	L = -R	$\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \neq 0 \in F$	
(3.21)	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & 1 \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & 0 & a \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\sigma_3 = 0$ $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in F$	$a \neq -1 \in F$
(3.22)	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & 1 \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$L = -R$	$\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \neq 0 \in F$	

Department of Mathematics, Spring Hill College, Mobile, AL 36608

E-mail address: lboskodunbar@shc.edu

Department of Mathematics, Spring Hill College, Mobile, AL 36608

E-mail address: mjburke@email.shc.edu

Department of Mathematics, Spring Hill College, Mobile, AL 36608

E-mail address: jdunbar@shc.edu

Department of Mathematics, West Virginia University, Institute of Technology, Montgomery, WV 25136

E-mail address: John.Hird@mail.wvu.edu

Department of Mathematics, The University of Texas at Tyler, Tyler, TX 75799

E-mail address: kstagg@uttyler.edu